

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/812,602	(	03/30/2004	Shinichiro Nohdo	9792909-5846	9792909-5846 3173		
26263	7590	10/27/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
SONNENS	CHEIN N	NATH & ROSENT	SLOMSKI, REBECCA				
P.O. BOX 0				ADTIBUT	DARCE MUMPED		
WACKER D	RIVE ST.	ATION, SEARS TO	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
CHICAGO,	IL 6060	6-1080	2112				

DATE MAILED: 10/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<b>3</b> /			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/812,602	NOHDO, SHINICI	HIRO			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Rebecca C. Slomski	2112				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence ac	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the specified period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to, cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this c ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•					
•	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E			e merits is			
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	· · ·	•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	_					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	ate				

Page 2

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-8, drawn to a wafer configured with certain alignment marks on an exposure surface and an exposure mask with certain alignment marks, classified in class 257, subclass 797.
  - II. Claims 9-20, drawn to a method for detecting an alignment mark and an exposure method, classified in class 356, subclass 401.
- 2. Inventions from Group 1 and Group 2 are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process such as contact exposure or projection exposure methods.
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the

Art Unit: 2112

inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

5. A telephone call was attempted to Attorney David Metzger on September 5, 2006 and September 12, 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made. The Attorney was unable to be reached on both accounts and did not return messages left.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rebecca C. Slomski whose telephone number is 571-272-9787. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, 7:30 am · 5:00 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Akm Ullah can be reached on 571-272-2361. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Rebecca C. Sloniski Assistant Patent Eva

Assistant Patent Examiner

571-272-9787

Akm E. Ullah

Supervisory Patent Examiner

con Eullar

571-272-2361